PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 21.07.2004 24.07.2003 PCT/EP2004/008235 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61M15/00 Applicant **GLAXO GROUP LIMITED** 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☑ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☑ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

3

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Vänttinen, H

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7442



IAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 23 JAN 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008235

_	Box	k No	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following iguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search index Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. ty	ype	of material:			
	(a sequence listing			
	ſ		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. fe	orm	at of material:			
	[in written format			
	[in computer readable form			
	c. ti	me	of filling/furnishing:			
	[contained in the international application as filed.			
	Į		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	I		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			

4. Additional comments:

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008235

	Box No. I	Priority
1.	☑ The fo	ollowing document has not been furnished:
		copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		equently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has theless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.	has b	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim een found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	was n	not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document ot available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has theless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
4.	Additional	observations, if necessary:

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008235

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application,							
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 69							
bed	cause:							
⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 69 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
	see separate sheet							
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 69							
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:							
	the written form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
	See separate sheet for further details							

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008235

	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	nventio	<u> </u>							
1.	⊠ In resp	oonse to the invitation	(Form F	PCT/ISA/206	6) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:						
	☐ paid additional fees.										
		paid additional fees	under pr	rotest.							
		not paid additional f	ees.								
2.	☐ This A the ap	uthority found that the plicant to pay addition	e require nal fees.	ment of uni	ity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite						
3.	This Autho	rity considers that the	require	ment of unit	ty of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is						
	☐ complie	d with									
	•	plied with for the follo	owing rea	asons:							
		parate sheet	ū								
4.	Consequer	· ntly, this report has be	en estal	olished in re	espect of the following parts of the international application:						
	☐ all parts	i.									
		s relating to claims N	os. 1-68,	70-72							
	·	-									
	Box No. V	Reasoned staten applicability; citation	nent und ns and e	ler Rule 43 explanation	Bbis.1(a)(I) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement						
1.	Statement										
	Novelty (N))	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	5,6,8,10-31,33-39,41-46,48,50,52,53,57-59,70-72 1-4,7,9,32,40,47,49,51,54-56,60-68						
	Inventive s	tep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	5,6,10-31,33,41-47,50,52,53,57-59 1-4,7-9,32,34-36,38-40,47-49,51,54-56,60-68,70-72						
	Industrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-68,70-72						

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008235

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

- Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10) and /or
- 2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 70.9) see form 210

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

IAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 23 JAN 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/008235

1 Concerning Item III

In the light of the description, the medicament dispenser can only be used for dispensing a medicament to a patient. Consequently, the subject-matter of claim 69 is considered to fall under Article 67.1(iv) PCT and will not be examined any further in respect of novelty and inventive step.

2 Concerning Item IV

In the light of WO-A-01/17595 (D1) the subject-matter of claim 1 is not novel (see Point 3.1). Consequently, claim 1 cannot have any special technical features as required by Rule 13.2 PCT. Thus, claims 1 and 70 cannot have any common or corresponding special technical features. Therefore, claims 1 and 70 do not meet the requirements of Rule 13 PCT.

In the light of the above, the present set of claims is considered to relate to **two** possible inventions being covered by the following groups of claims:

- (I) claims 1-68 and
- (ii) claims 70-72.

3 Concerning Item V

- 3.1 D1 discloses a medicament dispenser for plural elongate continuous loop (annular) form medicament carriers, comprising a receiving station (106), a release (5, 17), an outlet (implicit), an indexer (107, 108, 111), and two (annular) continuous loop medicament carriers (14, 16) as defined in claim 1. Thus, the subject-matter of claim 1 does not meet the requirement of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 3.2 In addition, the technical features of dependent claims 2-4, 7, 9, 32, 37, 40, 47, 49, 51, 54-56 and 60-68 appear to be disclosed by D1. Thus, said claims do not meet the requirement of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 3.3 The technical features of the remaining dependent claims 8, 34-36, 38, 39 and 48 are considered to relate either to a minor constructional change that can be realized by the skilled person or to one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person could select, in accordance with the circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skills. Consequently, the subject-matters of said claims do not

appear to meet the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 3.4 The technical features of the remaining dependent claims 5, 6, 10-31, 33, 41-47, 50, 52, 53 and 57-59 do not appear to be derivable from any of the cited documents in an obvious manner. thus, said claims appear to meet the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.
- 3.5 The subject-matter of claim 70 is considered to differ from the disclosure of D1 by that the dispenser further comprises a movable cover that couples to the dispensing mechanism such that movement of said cover actuates one or more components of the dispensing mechanism. WO-A-01/26720 (D2) discloses a medicament dispenser comprising such a cover (16, 236). Because D1 remains silent about the details of the inhaler and actuation mechanism, the skilled person would have to look for suitable solutions. Consequently, in the light of the disclosure and teaching of D2, the skilled person would appear to arrive at the subject-matter of claim 70. Furthermore, in that case the skilled person would appear to inevitably arrive also to the subject-matters of claims 71 and 72. Thus, said claims do not appear to meet the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 3.6 The industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT) of a device according to the claims 1-68 and 70-72 is self-evident.

4 Concerning Item VI

- 4.1 WO-A-03/61743 (D3, see Figs. 19 and 20), claiming a priority date being before the priority date of the preset application, but being published after the claimed priority date of the present application, appears to disclose a medicament dispenser which falls under the wordings of claims 1 and 70. In addition, D2 appears to disclose the technical features of dependent claims 2-33, 37 and 39-68.
- 4.2 Furthermore, WO-A-2004/011070 (D4) and WO-A-03/095010 (D5), claiming a priority date being before the priority date of the preset application, but being published after the claimed priority date of the present application, appear to disclose a medicament dispenser that falls under the wording of claim 70.
- 4.3 The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that in the regional phase said

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/008235

documents may be considered as prior art.

5 Concerning Item VII

The closest prior art (D1) has not been identified as required by Rule 5(a)(ii) PCT. Furthermore, the independent claims are not in the two-part form as required by Rule 6.3(b) PCT. In addition, the claims do not include reference signs in parentheses as required by Rule 6.2(b) PCT.